

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,831	05/24/2001	Davorin David Hrovat	200-1205 (FGT 1481 PA)	5010
28549	7590 03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
KEVIN G. MIERZWA			FLEMING, FAYE M	
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034		r	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/864,831	HROVAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faye Fleming	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	— Proposition to the month of t					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the description	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/01</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					
Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/864,831

Art Unit: 3616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8, 10, 11 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 recites the limitation "said steering angle" and "said steering sensor". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 10 recites the limitation "the steering angle factor" and "said brake force distribution". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the steering wheels". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the steering angle factor" and "said brake force distribution". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clare, et al (6,179,310) in view of Wielenga (6,065,558).

Clare teaches a rollover control system for an automotive vehicle comprising an active suspension having independently adjustable unloading side and a loading side; a controller 77 controlling the active suspension to generate a restoring torque in response to the rollover

Application/Control Number: 09/864,831

Art Unit: 3616

signal. The controller controls the loading side to a loaded condition and controls the unloading side to an unloaded condition to provide the restoring torque.

Clare teaches the claimed invention except for a rollover sensor. Wielenga teaches an anti-rollover brake sensor system comprising a rollover sensor 58 for detecting an imminent rollover of the vehicle. The rollover sensor comprises a speed sensor generating a first signal corresponding to wheel speed of the vehicle. The rollover sensor is selected from the group of a speed sensor, a lateral acceleration sensor, a roll rate sensor, a yaw rate sensor, a longitudinal acceleration sensor, a steering wheel angle sensor, and a pitch rate sensor. Based on the teachings of Wielenga, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Clare to include a rollover sensor to facilitate in preventing a vehicle from a rollover.

Clare teaches the claimed invention except for a brake controller and restoring torque by controlling steered wheels. Wielenga teaches a brake controller 60 wherein the brake controller controls the front brake force and rear brake force in response to a rollover signal wherein the brake force distribution is ultimately controlled. Wielenga also teaches restoring torque by controlling steered wheels. Based on the teachings of Wielenga, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Clare to include a brake controller and to restore torque by controlling steered wheels to facilitate in preventing a vehicle from a rollover.

5. Claims 10, 16 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clare, et al (6,179,310) in view of Wielenga (6,065,558), and further in view of Miichi, et al (5,438,515).

Clare in view of Wielenga teaches the claimed invention except for restoring torque by changing the steering angle factor. Miichi teaches an alignment control unit and control method for an automotive suspension which restores torque by changing the steering angle factor. Based on the teachings of Clare in view of Wielenga, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3616

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Clare and Wielenga to restore torque by changing the steering angle factor to improve the straight-line stability when a vehicle is traveling at a high speed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Notice of References Cited list references disclosing some features in common with the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Fleming whose telephone number is (703) 305-0209. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Faye Fleming Fall / Examiner Art Unit 3616